

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
8 AT SEATTLE

9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 LEANDRE DUANE JONES

13 Defendant.  
14

Case No. 2:17-CR-206-RSL

ORDER DENYING MOTION  
FOR EARLY  
TERMINATION OF  
SUPERVISED RELEASE


15 This matter comes before the Court on defendant LeAndre Duane Jones's "Motion for  
16 Early Termination of Supervised Release," pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e)(1). Dkt. #6.

17 On July 28, 2011, Mr. Jones was sentenced in the Western District of Texas to five  
18 months of imprisonment and three years of supervised release, after pleading guilty to Failure to  
19 Register as a Sex Offender. Dkt. #6 at 1; see Dkt. #2. Jurisdiction was transferred to the Western  
20 District of Washington on August 31, 2017. Dkt. #1. Mr. Jones began his supervised release on  
21 September 3, 2016, and it is set to terminate on September 2, 2019. Dkt. #6 at 1.

22 A court "may, after considering the factors set forth in [18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)] ... terminate  
23 a term of supervised release and discharge the defendant released at any time after the expiration  
24 of one year of supervised release ... if it is satisfied that such action is warranted by the conduct  
25 of the defendant released and the interest of justice." 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e). The Court has  
26 "discretion to consider a wide range of circumstances when determining whether to grant early  
27 termination." United States v. Emmett, 749 F.3d 817, 819 (9th Cir. 2014).  
28

1 The Court is pleased to learn that Mr. Jones is doing well under supervised release. It  
2 appreciates his efforts in securing employment as an independent computer systems engineer, in  
3 devoting his spare time to his family, and in participating regularly in sex offender treatment  
4 with Dan Knoepfler since May 2017. It acknowledges that the conditions of his supervision  
5 make it difficult for him to travel outside the area for his work. However, the Court believes that  
6 Mr. Jones requires the continuity of his treatment with Mr. Knoepfler. Mr. Jones's motion is  
7 therefore DENIED.

8  
9 DATED this 5<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2019.  
10  
11

12 

13 Robert S. Lasnik  
14 United States District Judge  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28